

DX-102-11

# Datasheet

TX-02

# Berkeley Mono™ Typeface

## Document Information

TX-02 Berkeley Mono™ Datasheet

Document ID  
DX-102-11

December, 2024

## Introduction

TX-02 Berkeley Mono™ is a love letter to the golden era of computing. The era that gave rise to a generation of people that celebrated automation and reveled in the joy of computing, when transistors replaced cogs, and machine-readable typefaces were developed, for when humans and machines truly interfaced on an unprecedented scale.

Berkeley Mono™ wears a \*NIX T-shirt and aspires to be etched on control panels in black synthetic lacquer. It is Adrian Frutiger visits Bell Labs, it is Gene Kranz's command. It operates with calibrated precision and has a datasheet.

Berkeley Mono™ is a typeface for professionals.

## Marketing Poster

TX-02  
BERKELEY  
MONO™  
TYPEFACE

TX-02 Berkeley Mono™ Family - Fixed Cuts

SemiCondensed

Condensed

ExtraCondensed

UltraCondensed

Aa Aa	Thin	Aa Aa	Aa Aa	Aa Aa	Aa Aa
Aa Aa	ExtraLight	Aa Aa	Aa Aa	Aa Aa	Aa Aa
Aa Aa	Light	Aa Aa	Aa Aa	Aa Aa	Aa Aa
Aa Aa	SemiLight	Aa Aa	Aa Aa	Aa Aa	Aa Aa
Aa Aa	Retina	Aa Aa	Aa Aa	Aa Aa	Aa Aa
Aa Aa	Regular	Aa Aa	Aa Aa	Aa Aa	Aa Aa
Aa Aa	Book	Aa Aa	Aa Aa	Aa Aa	Aa Aa
Aa Aa	Medium	Aa Aa	Aa Aa	Aa Aa	Aa Aa
Aa Aa	SemiBold	Aa Aa	Aa Aa	Aa Aa	Aa Aa
Aa Aa	Bold	Aa Aa	Aa Aa	Aa Aa	Aa Aa
Aa Aa	SemiBold	Aa Aa	Aa Aa	Aa Aa	Aa Aa
Aa Aa	Black	Aa Aa	Aa Aa	Aa Aa	Aa Aa

## Typeface Specification

Family Name	TX-02
Family Name (Variable)	TX-02 Variable
Units per Em	1000
Version	2.000
Designer	Neil Panchal
Designer URL	<a href="https://neil.computer">https://neil.computer</a>
Manufacturer	U.S. Graphics, LLC
Manufacturer URL	<a href="https://usgraphics.com">https://usgraphics.com</a>
Copyright	© Copyright 2024, U.S. Graphics, LLC. All Rights Reserved.
License	Proprietary and non-transferrable. See License URL for full details.
License URL	<a href="https://usgraphics.com/legal/license">https://usgraphics.com/legal/license</a>
Description	A love letter to the golden era of computing.
Variable axes	Weight (wght)
Typo metrics	Yes
Fixed Pitch	Yes
fsType embedding	Installable
Subsetting	Allowed
Unicode ranges	Basic Latin, Latin-1 Supplement, Latin Extended-A, Latin Extended-B
Width Cuts	UltraCondensed, ExtraCondensed, Condensed, SemiCondensed, Normal
Weight Cuts	Thin, ExtraLight, Light, SemiLight, Retina, Regular, Book, Medium, SemiBold, Bold, ExtraBold, Black
Slant Cuts	Upright, Oblique
Variable Axes	Width (wdth) axis, Weight (wght) axis, Slant (slnt) axis
Desktop Formats	OTF, TTF
Web Formats	WOFF2
Variable Formats	OTF, TTF, WOFF2

72 ENGINEERING

60 ENGINEERING

48 ENGINEERING

42 ENGINEERING

36 ENGINEERING

30 ENGINEERING

27 ENGINEERING

24 ENGINEERING

20 ENGINEERING

18 ENGINEERING

16 ENGINEERING

14 ENGINEERING

12 ENGINEERING

10 ENGINEERING

72 *ENGINEERING*

60 *ENGINEERING*

48 *ENGINEERING*

42 *ENGINEERING*

36 *ENGINEERING*

30 *ENGINEERING*

27 *ENGINEERING*

24 *ENGINEERING*

20 *ENGINEERING*

18 *ENGINEERING*

16 *ENGINEERING*

14 *ENGINEERING*

12 *ENGINEERING*

10 *ENGINEERING*

72 **ENGINEERING**

60 **ENGINEERING**

48 **ENGINEERING**

42 **ENGINEERING**

36 **ENGINEERING**

30 **ENGINEERING**

27 **ENGINEERING**

24 **ENGINEERING**

20 **ENGINEERING**

18 **ENGINEERING**

16 **ENGINEERING**

14 **ENGINEERING**

12 **ENGINEERING**

10 **ENGINEERING**



72 ***ENGINEERING***

60 ***ENGINEERING***

48 ***ENGINEERING***

42 ***ENGINEERING***

36 ***ENGINEERING***

30 ***ENGINEERING***

27 ***ENGINEERING***

24 ***ENGINEERING***

20 ***ENGINEERING***

18 ***ENGINEERING***

16 ***ENGINEERING***

14 ***ENGINEERING***

12 ***ENGINEERING***

10 ***ENGINEERING***

## Uppercase

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P
0041	0042	0043	0044	0045	0046	0047	0048	0049	004A	004B	004C	004D	004E	004F	0050
Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z						
0051	0052	0053	0054	0055	0056	0057	0058	0059	005A						

## Lowercase

a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j	k	l	m	n	o	p
0061	0062	0063	0064	0065	0066	0067	0068	0069	006A	006B	006C	006D	006E	006F	0070
q	r	s	t	u	v	w	x	y	z						
0071	0072	0073	0074	0075	0076	0077	0078	0079	007A						

## Numerals

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9						
0030	0031	0032	0033	0034	0035	0036	0037	0038	0039						

## Computer programming symbols

@	!	#	\$	%	&	?	`	^	.	,	'	"	:	;	
0040	0021	0023	0024	0025	0026	003F	0060	005E	002E	002C	0027	0022	003A	003B	007C
(	)	[	]	{	}	<	>	\	/	+	=	*	~	_	-
0028	0029	005B	005D	007B	007D	003C	003E	005C	002F	002B	003D	002A	007E	005F	002D



## Lowercase (Accented)

á	ă	â	ä	à	ā	ą	å	ã	æ	ć	č	ç	ć	đ	
00E1	0103	00E2	00E4	00E0	0101	0105	00E5	00E3	00E6	0107	010D	00E7	010B	00F0	010F

d	é	ě	ê	ë	è	è	ē	ę	ə	ğ	g	g	h	ı	í
0111	00E9	011B	00EA	00EB	0117	00E8	0113	0119	0259	011F	0123	0121	0127	0131	00ED

î	ï	ì	ij	ī	ı	ı	ķ	í	ı	ı	ı	ń	ň	ı	ň
00EE	00EF	00EC	0133	012B	012F	0237	0137	013A	013E	013C	0142	0144	0148	0146	00F1

ó	ô	ö	ò	ó	ō	ø	õ	œ	þ	ř	ř	ı	ś	š	ş
00F3	00F4	00F6	00F2	0151	014D	00F8	00F5	0153	00FE	0155	0159	0157	015B	0161	015F

ş	ß	ţ	ţ	ţ	ú	û	ü	ù	ú	ū	ų	ű	w	w	w
0219	00DF	0165	0163	021B	00FA	00FB	00FC	00F9	0171	016B	0173	016F	1E83	0175	1E85

w	ý	ÿ	ÿ	ÿ	z	z	z								
1E81	00FD	0177	00FF	1EF3	017A	017E	017C								

## Accents

¨	▪	˘	˙	˚	ˆ	˘	˘	◦	˜	ˉ	˘	˘	˘	˘	
00A8	02D9	0060	00B4	02DD	02C6	02C7	02D8	02DA	02DC	00AF	00B8	02DB	0312	0326	













Programming Ligatures - Group D

# (	# {	# [ ]#	# !	# ?	# =	# _
0023 0028	0023 007B	0023 005B 005D 0023	0023 0021	0023 003F	0023 003D	0023 005F

# _ (	##	###	####				
0023 005F 0028	0023 0023	0023 0023 0023	0023 0023 0023 0023				

Programming Ligatures - Group E

[   ]	[ < > ]	{ ! ! }	{   }
005B 007C 007C 005D	005B 003C 003E 005D	007B 0021 0021	0021 0021 007D 007B 007C

}	{ { }	{ { --- }		
007C 007D	007B 007B	007D 007D 007B 007B 002D 002D	002D 002D 007D 007D	

{ ! --- }	//	///	!!				
007B 0021 002D 002D	002F 002F	002F 002F 002F	0021 0021				

Programming Ligatures - Group F

WWW	@ _	&&	&&&	&=	~@	++
0077 0077 0077	0040 005F	0026 0026	0026 0026 0026	0026 003D	007E 0040	002B 002B

+++	\	/	_   _				
002B 002B 002B	002F 005C	002F 002F	005F 007C 005F	007C 007C			



Programming Ligatures - Arithmetics

002B	002B	002D	002D	002F	003D	0026	0026	007C	007C	007C	007C	003D

Programming Ligatures - Comparison

003C	003D	003E	003D	003C	003D	003E						

Programming Ligatures - Logic

002F	005C	005C	002F	002D	007C	005F	007C	005F	007C	002D	007C	003D

007C	007C	002D	007C	007C	003D						

Programming Ligatures - Scope

002D	003E	003D	003E	003A	003A	005F	005F				

Programming Ligatures - Equality

003D	003D	003D	003D	003D	0021	003D	003D	002F	003D	0021	003D	003D

Programming Ligatures - Bitwise

003C	003C	003C	003C	003C	003C	003C	003D	003E	003E	003E	003E	003E

003E	003E	003D	007C	003D	XXXX	003D						

Programming Ligatures - Comments

002F	002A	002A	002F	002F	002A	002A	002F	002F	002F	002F	002F	

Programming Ligatures - Other

003A	003E	003A	003C	003E	003A	003C	003A	003A	003A	003D	0023	0021

Programming Ligatures - Other

007B	007C	007C	007D	0023	005B	005D	0023		

Programming Ligatures - Markdown

0023	0023	0023	0023	0023	0023	0023	0023		





002D	002D	002D	002D	002D					

Programming Ligatures - HTML


003C	002F	003C	0021	002D	002D	003C	002F	003E	002D	002D	003E

002F	003E	0077	0077	0077					


Programming Ligatures - Javascript

												
002A	002A	003D	003D	003D	0021	003D	003D	003F	002E			



Programming Ligatures - Go

												
003A	003D											





Programming Ligatures - Java

												
003C	003E	003C	007E	003E								

Programming Ligatures - C#

												
003F	002E	003F	003F									

Programming Ligatures - Scala

												
003D	0021	003D	003D	003A	003D	003A	003A	003A	003C	003A	003C	

Programming Ligatures - Haskell

= > >			= < <			> = >			< = <			
003D	003E	003E	003D	003C	003C	003E	003D	003E	003C	003D	003C	

< \$		< \$ >			\$ >		< +		< + >			
003C	0024	003C	0024	003E	0024	003E	003C	002B	003C	002B	003E	

+ >		< *		< * >			* >		< >		. =	
002B	003E	003C	002A	003C	002A	003E	002A	003E	003C	003E	002E	003D

<   >			# =		+ +		+ + +					
003C	007C	003E	0023	003D	002B	002B	002B	002B	002B			





Programming Ligatures - Kotlin

!	!											
0021	0021											

Programming Ligatures - R

■	■	■										
003A	003A	003A										

Programming Ligatures - Clojure

# {	# (	# _	# _ (	# ?	# :							
0023	007B	0023	0028	0023	005F	0023	005F	0028	0023	003F	0023	003A

;	;	~ @										
003B	003B	007E	0040									

Programming Ligatures - Elixir

< -	- >	# { }	>	< >								
003C	002D	002D	003E	0023	007B	007D	007C	003E	003C	003E		



- 18 pt When a quantum system is not a macroscopic measuring instrument or an ideal observer, no pointer state basis is privileged (see 5.5). One can still define multiple destinies by arbitrarily choosing one of its bases of states. But there is no reason to think that these destinies are real, because the states which define them are not, in general, states by which the system really passes.
- 16 pt When a quantum system is not a macroscopic measuring instrument or an ideal observer, no pointer state basis is privileged (see 5.5). One can still define multiple destinies by arbitrarily choosing one of its bases of states. But there is no reason to think that these destinies are real, because the states which define them are not, in general, states by which the system really passes. In reality, it is in a superposition of these states or in a state entangled with the environment. This
- 14 pt When a quantum system is not a macroscopic measuring instrument or an ideal observer, no pointer state basis is privileged (see 5.5). One can still define multiple destinies by arbitrarily choosing one of its bases of states. But there is no reason to think that these destinies are real, because the states which define them are not, in general, states by which the system really passes. In reality, it is in a superposition of these states or in a state entangled with the environment.

12 pt

When a quantum system is not a macroscopic measuring instrument or an ideal observer, no pointer state basis is privileged (see 5.5). One can still define multiple destinies by arbitrarily choosing one of its bases of states. But there is no reason to think that these destinies are real, because the states which define them are not, in general, states by which the system really passes. In reality, it is in a superposition of these states or in a state entangled with the environment. This is why this book calls them virtual quantum destinies. Another fundamental reason prevents the identification of Feynman paths with real destinies. They would attribute very many pasts to the same present state. Feynman paths do not form a tree structure because they can converge as easily as they diverge. A quantum state on a Feynman path is a point of convergence of many paths that

10 pt

When a quantum system is not a macroscopic measuring instrument or an ideal observer, no pointer state basis is privileged (see 5.5). One can still define multiple destinies by arbitrarily choosing one of its bases of states. But there is no reason to think that these destinies are real, because the states which define them are not, in general, states by which the system really passes. In reality, it is in a superposition of these states or in a state entangled with the environment. This is why this book calls them virtual quantum destinies. Another fundamental reason prevents the identification of Feynman paths with real destinies. They would attribute very many pasts to the same present state. Feynman paths do not form a tree structure because they can converge as easily as they diverge. A quantum state on a Feynman path is a point of convergence of many paths that would define as many pasts if they were real destinies. This property of convergence of virtual destinies is important to make use of the parallelism of quantum computation, but it seems obviously excluded for real destinies, which in general seem to have a single past.

8 pt / 6 pt

When a quantum system is not a macroscopic measuring instrument or an ideal observer, no pointer state basis is privileged (see 5.5). One can still define multiple destinies by arbitrarily choosing one of its bases of states. But there is no reason to think that these destinies are real, because the states which define them are not, in general, states by which the system really passes. In reality, it is in a superposition of these states or in a state entangled with the environment. This is why this book calls them virtual quantum destinies. Another fundamental reason prevents the identification of Feynman paths with real destinies. They would attribute very many pasts to the same present state. Feynman paths do not form a tree structure because they can converge as easily as they diverge. A quantum state on a Feynman path is a point of convergence of many paths that

When a quantum system is not a macroscopic measuring instrument or an ideal observer, no pointer state basis is privileged (see 5.5). One can still define multiple destinies by arbitrarily choosing one of its bases of states. But there is no reason to think that these destinies are real, because the states which define them are not, in general, states by which the system really passes. In reality, it is in a superposition of these states or in a state entangled with the environment. This is why this book calls them virtual quantum destinies. Another fundamental reason prevents the identification of Feynman paths with real destinies. They would attribute very many pasts to the same present state. Feynman paths do not form a tree structure because they can converge as easily as they diverge. A quantum state on a Feynman path is a point of convergence of many paths that would define as many pasts if they were real destinies. This property of convergence of virtual destinies is important to make use of the parallelism of quantum computation, but it seems obviously excluded for real destinies, which in general seem to have a single past. The formalism of the unitary operators implicitly uses the concept of time, since a unitary operator describes a change of state, but it says nothing a priori about space and mass. It seems false that space and mass are essentially classical concepts, that quantum physics does not explain their existence, and that therefore it can not explain by itself the classical appearances of the world. Most quantum equations have classical equivalents and we

18 pt

*When a quantum system is not a macroscopic measuring instrument or an ideal observer, no pointer state basis is privileged (see 5.5). One can still define multiple destinies by arbitrarily choosing one of its bases of states. But there is no reason to think that these destinies are real, because the states which define them are not, in general, states by which the system really passes.*

16 pt

*When a quantum system is not a macroscopic measuring instrument or an ideal observer, no pointer state basis is privileged (see 5.5). One can still define multiple destinies by arbitrarily choosing one of its bases of states. But there is no reason to think that these destinies are real, because the states which define them are not, in general, states by which the system really passes. In reality, it is in a superposition of these states or in a state entangled with the environment. This*

14 pt

*When a quantum system is not a macroscopic measuring instrument or an ideal observer, no pointer state basis is privileged (see 5.5). One can still define multiple destinies by arbitrarily choosing one of its bases of states. But there is no reason to think that these destinies are real, because the states which define them are not, in general, states by which the system really passes. In reality, it is in a superposition of these states or in a state entangled with the environment. This is why this book calls them virtual quantum destinies. Another fundamental reason prevents the identification of Feynman paths*

12 pt

*When a quantum system is not a macroscopic measuring instrument or an ideal observer, no pointer state basis is privileged (see 5.5). One can still define multiple destinies by arbitrarily choosing one of its bases of states. But there is no reason to think that these destinies are real, because the states which define them are not, in general, states by which the system really passes. In reality, it is in a superposition of these states or in a state entangled with the environment. This is why this book calls them virtual quantum destinies. Another fundamental reason prevents the identification of Feynman paths with real destinies. They would attribute very many pasts to the same present state. Feynman paths do not form a tree structure because they can converge as easily as they diverge. A quantum state on a Feynman path is a point of convergence of many paths that*

10 pt

*When a quantum system is not a macroscopic measuring instrument or an ideal observer, no pointer state basis is privileged (see 5.5). One can still define multiple destinies by arbitrarily choosing one of its bases of states. But there is no reason to think that these destinies are real, because the states which define them are not, in general, states by which the system really passes. In reality, it is in a superposition of these states or in a state entangled with the environment. This is why this book calls them virtual quantum destinies. Another fundamental reason prevents the identification of Feynman paths with real destinies. They would attribute very many pasts to the same present state. Feynman paths do not form a tree structure because they can converge as easily as they diverge. A quantum state on a Feynman path is a point of convergence of many paths that would define as many pasts if they were real destinies. This property of convergence of virtual destinies is important to make use of the parallelism of quantum computation, but it seems obviously excluded for real destinies, which in general seem to have a single past.*

8 pt / 6 pt

*When a quantum system is not a macroscopic measuring instrument or an ideal observer, no pointer state basis is privileged (see 5.5). One can still define multiple destinies by arbitrarily choosing one of its bases of states. But there is no reason to think that these destinies are real, because the states which define them are not, in general, states by which the system really passes. In reality, it is in a superposition of these states or in a state entangled with the environment. This is why this book calls them virtual quantum destinies. Another fundamental reason prevents the identification of Feynman paths with real destinies. They would attribute very many pasts to the same present state. Feynman paths do not form a tree structure because they can converge as easily as they diverge. A quantum state on a Feynman path is a point of convergence of many paths that*

*When a quantum system is not a macroscopic measuring instrument or an ideal observer, no pointer state basis is privileged (see 5.5). One can still define multiple destinies by arbitrarily choosing one of its bases of states. But there is no reason to think that these destinies are real, because the states which define them are not, in general, states by which the system really passes. In reality, it is in a superposition of these states or in a state entangled with the environment. This is why this book calls them virtual quantum destinies. Another fundamental reason prevents the identification of Feynman paths with real destinies. They would attribute very many pasts to the same present state. Feynman paths do not form a tree structure because they can converge as easily as they diverge. A quantum state on a Feynman path is a point of convergence of many paths that would define as many pasts if they were real destinies. This property of convergence of virtual destinies is important to make use of the parallelism of quantum computation, but it seems obviously excluded for real destinies, which in general seem to have a single past. The formalism of the unitary operators implicitly uses the concept of time, since a unitary operator describes a change of state, but it says nothing a priori about space and mass. It seems false that space and mass are essentially classical concepts, that quantum physics does not explain their existence, and that therefore it can not explain by itself the classical appearances of the world. Most quantum equations have classical equivalents and we*

18 pt

When a quantum system is not a macroscopic measuring instrument or an ideal observer, no pointer state basis is privileged (see 5.5). One can still define multiple destinies by arbitrarily choosing one of its bases of states. But there is no reason to think that these destinies are real, because the states which define them are not, in general, states by which the system really passes.

16 pt

When a quantum system is not a macroscopic measuring instrument or an ideal observer, no pointer state basis is privileged (see 5.5). One can still define multiple destinies by arbitrarily choosing one of its bases of states. But there is no reason to think that these destinies are real, because the states which define them are not, in general, states by which the system really passes. In reality, it is in a superposition of these states or in a state entangled with the environment. This

14 pt

When a quantum system is not a macroscopic measuring instrument or an ideal observer, no pointer state basis is privileged (see 5.5). One can still define multiple destinies by arbitrarily choosing one of its bases of states. But there is no reason to think that these destinies are real, because the states which define them are not, in general, states by which the system really passes. In reality, it is in a superposition of these states or in a state entangled with the environment. This is why this book calls them virtual quantum destinies. Another fundamental reason prevents the identification of Feynman paths



12 pt

When a quantum system is not a macroscopic measuring instrument or an ideal observer, no pointer state basis is privileged (see 5.5). One can still define multiple destinies by arbitrarily choosing one of its bases of states. But there is no reason to think that these destinies are real, because the states which define them are not, in general, states by which the system really passes. In reality, it is in a superposition of these states or in a state entangled with the environment. This is why this book calls them virtual quantum destinies. Another fundamental reason prevents the identification of Feynman paths with real destinies. They would attribute very many pasts to the same present state. Feynman paths do not form a tree structure because they can converge as easily as they diverge. A quantum state on a Feynman path is a point of convergence of many paths that

10 pt

When a quantum system is not a macroscopic measuring instrument or an ideal observer, no pointer state basis is privileged (see 5.5). One can still define multiple destinies by arbitrarily choosing one of its bases of states. But there is no reason to think that these destinies are real, because the states which define them are not, in general, states by which the system really passes. In reality, it is in a superposition of these states or in a state entangled with the environment. This is why this book calls them virtual quantum destinies. Another fundamental reason prevents the identification of Feynman paths with real destinies. They would attribute very many pasts to the same present state. Feynman paths do not form a tree structure because they can converge as easily as they diverge. A quantum state on a Feynman path is a point of convergence of many paths that would define as many pasts if they were real destinies. This property of convergence of virtual destinies is important to make use of the parallelism of quantum computation, but it seems obviously excluded for real destinies, which in general seem to have a single past.

8 pt/6 pt

When a quantum system is not a macroscopic measuring instrument or an ideal observer, no pointer state basis is privileged (see 5.5). One can still define multiple destinies by arbitrarily choosing one of its bases of states. But there is no reason to think that these destinies are real, because the states which define them are not, in general, states by which the system really passes. In reality, it is in a superposition of these states or in a state entangled with the environment. This is why this book calls them virtual quantum destinies. Another fundamental reason prevents the identification of Feynman paths with real destinies. They would attribute very many pasts to the same present state. Feynman paths do not form a tree structure because they can converge as easily as they diverge. A quantum state on a Feynman path is a point of convergence of many paths that

When a quantum system is not a macroscopic measuring instrument or an ideal observer, no pointer state basis is privileged (see 5.5). One can still define multiple destinies by arbitrarily choosing one of its bases of states. But there is no reason to think that these destinies are real, because the states which define them are not, in general, states by which the system really passes. In reality, it is in a superposition of these states or in a state entangled with the environment. This is why this book calls them virtual quantum destinies. Another fundamental reason prevents the identification of Feynman paths with real destinies. They would attribute very many pasts to the same present state. Feynman paths do not form a tree structure because they can converge as easily as they diverge. A quantum state on a Feynman path is a point of convergence of many paths that would define as many pasts if they were real destinies. This property of convergence of virtual destinies is important to make use of the parallelism of quantum computation, but it seems obviously excluded for real destinies, which in general seem to have a single past. The formalism of the unitary operators implicitly uses the concept of time, since a unitary operator describes a change of state, but it says nothing a priori about space and mass. It seems false that space and mass are essentially classical concepts, that quantum physics does not explain their existence, and that therefore it can not explain by itself the classical appearances of the world. Most quantum equations have classical equivalents and we

18 pt

*When a quantum system is not a macroscopic measuring instrument or an ideal observer, no pointer state basis is privileged (see 5.5). One can still define multiple destinies by arbitrarily choosing one of its bases of states. But there is no reason to think that these destinies are real, because the states which define them are not, in general, states by which the system really passes.*

16 pt

*When a quantum system is not a macroscopic measuring instrument or an ideal observer, no pointer state basis is privileged (see 5.5). One can still define multiple destinies by arbitrarily choosing one of its bases of states. But there is no reason to think that these destinies are real, because the states which define them are not, in general, states by which the system really passes. In reality, it is in a superposition of these states or in a state entangled with the environment. This*

14 pt

*When a quantum system is not a macroscopic measuring instrument or an ideal observer, no pointer state basis is privileged (see 5.5). One can still define multiple destinies by arbitrarily choosing one of its bases of states. But there is no reason to think that these destinies are real, because the states which define them are not, in general, states by which the system really passes. In reality, it is in a superposition of these states or in a state entangled with the environment. This is why this book calls them virtual quantum destinies. Another fundamental reason prevents the identification of Feynman paths*

12 pt

*When a quantum system is not a macroscopic measuring instrument or an ideal observer, no pointer state basis is privileged (see 5.5). One can still define multiple destinies by arbitrarily choosing one of its bases of states. But there is no reason to think that these destinies are real, because the states which define them are not, in general, states by which the system really passes. In reality, it is in a superposition of these states or in a state entangled with the environment. This is why this book calls them virtual quantum destinies. Another fundamental reason prevents the identification of Feynman paths with real destinies. They would attribute very many pasts to the same present state. Feynman paths do not form a tree structure because they can converge as easily as they diverge. A quantum state on a Feynman path is a point of convergence of many paths that*

10 pt

*When a quantum system is not a macroscopic measuring instrument or an ideal observer, no pointer state basis is privileged (see 5.5). One can still define multiple destinies by arbitrarily choosing one of its bases of states. But there is no reason to think that these destinies are real, because the states which define them are not, in general, states by which the system really passes. In reality, it is in a superposition of these states or in a state entangled with the environment. This is why this book calls them virtual quantum destinies. Another fundamental reason prevents the identification of Feynman paths with real destinies. They would attribute very many pasts to the same present state. Feynman paths do not form a tree structure because they can converge as easily as they diverge. A quantum state on a Feynman path is a point of convergence of many paths that would define as many pasts if they were real destinies. This property of convergence of virtual destinies is important to make use of the parallelism of quantum computation, but it seems obviously excluded for real destinies, which in general seem to have a single past.*

8 pt / 6 pt

*When a quantum system is not a macroscopic measuring instrument or an ideal observer, no pointer state basis is privileged (see 5.5). One can still define multiple destinies by arbitrarily choosing one of its bases of states. But there is no reason to think that these destinies are real, because the states which define them are not, in general, states by which the system really passes. In reality, it is in a superposition of these states or in a state entangled with the environment. This is why this book calls them virtual quantum destinies. Another fundamental reason prevents the identification of Feynman paths with real destinies. They would attribute very many pasts to the same present state. Feynman paths do not form a tree structure because they can converge as easily as they diverge. A quantum state on a Feynman path is a point of convergence of many paths that*

*When a quantum system is not a macroscopic measuring instrument or an ideal observer, no pointer state basis is privileged (see 5.5). One can still define multiple destinies by arbitrarily choosing one of its bases of states. But there is no reason to think that these destinies are real, because the states which define them are not, in general, states by which the system really passes. In reality, it is in a superposition of these states or in a state entangled with the environment. This is why this book calls them virtual quantum destinies. Another fundamental reason prevents the identification of Feynman paths with real destinies. They would attribute very many pasts to the same present state. Feynman paths do not form a tree structure because they can converge as easily as they diverge. A quantum state on a Feynman path is a point of convergence of many paths that would define as many pasts if they were real destinies. This property of convergence of virtual destinies is important to make use of the parallelism of quantum computation, but it seems obviously excluded for real destinies, which in general seem to have a single past. The formalism of the unitary operators implicitly uses the concept of time, since a unitary operator describes a change of state, but it says nothing a priori about space and mass. It seems false that space and mass are essentially classical concepts, that quantum physics does not explain their existence, and that therefore it can not explain by itself the classical appearances of the world. Most quantum equations have classical equivalents and we*

## Imprint

### U.S. Graphics Company

U.S. Graphics, LLC.  
Phoenix, AZ 85016  
United States

Engineering graphics for professionals.  
[berkeleygraphics.com](https://berkeleygraphics.com)

Address inquiries to:  
[inquiry@berkeleygraphics.com](mailto:inquiry@berkeleygraphics.com)

---

### Design philosophy

Emergent over prescribed aesthetics.  
Expose state and inner workings.  
Dense, not sparse.  
Explicit is better than implicit.  
Engineered for Human vision and perception.  
Regiment functionalism.  
Performance is design.  
Verbosity over opacity.  
Ignore design trends. Timeless and unfashionable.  
Flat, not hierarchical.  
Diametrically opposite of minimalism,  
as complex as it needs to be.  
Driven by objective reasoning and common sense.  
Don't infantilize users.

### Designer

Neil Panchal  
<https://neil.computer>

### Further Information

Report bugs and feedback to:  
[support@us.graphics](mailto:support@us.graphics)

Privacy Policy:  
<https://usgraphics.com/legal/privacy-policy>

Terms & Conditions:  
<https://usgraphics.com/legal/terms-and-conditions>

License Information:  
<https://usgraphics.com/legal/license>

**U.S. Graphics Company**

Engineering graphics.